

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.ispno.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,897	08/07/2000	William A. Royall, Jr.	ROY B-747	3640
75	90 03/13/2003			
DUANE MORRIS LLP			EXAMINER	
1667 K STREET NW SUITE 700			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3629 DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,897	08/07/2000	William A. Royall, Jr.	ROY B-747	3640
7.	590 01/08/2003			
L Lawton Rogers III			EXAMINER	
510 King Street Suite 400 Alexandria, VA 22314			OUELLETTE,	JONATHAN P
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- L					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
1	09/633,897	ROYALL, JR. ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u> August 2000</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	1						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	iminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>							
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Batest and Tradematic Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. <u>Claims 1-14</u>, drawn to the evaluation of applicant Interest, classified in class 705,
     subclass 1.
  - II. <u>Claims 15-27</u>, drawn to the generation of a candidate specific application,classified in class 705, subclass 1.
  - III. <u>Claim 28</u>, drawn to evaluating the entire application process, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as determining good candidates from a profiled pool of candidates located in a data base. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as generating candidate specific applications and offering incentives for the candidates to complete the applications. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as generally determining the efficiency of an application system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

### Double Patenting

- 7. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- 8. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897

Art Unit: 3629

Applicant is advised that if Claims 10 and 13-14 are elected, they will be rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of Claims 1-23 of prior U.S. Patent
 Application No. 09/931749.

10. Applicant is also advised that if Claims 15-27 are elected, they will be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11 of prior U.S. Patent Application No. 09/961234.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am - 5:00pm.

- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

  John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization

  where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular

  communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.
- 13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

JO January 2, 2003

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

mil